



# A G E N D A

## **Farmington Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Committee Meeting**

**Executive Conference Room  
Farmington City Hall  
800 Municipal Dr.  
Farmington, NM**

**October 22, 2009  
10:00 a.m.**

**AGENDA**  
**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
**TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**October 22, 2009                      10:00 A.M.**

This meeting will be held in the Executive Conference Room, Farmington City Hall, 800 Municipal Dr., Farmington, New Mexico.

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Call meeting to order	
2. Approve the minutes from the September 24, 2009 Technical Committee meeting.	1
<b>Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Update</b>	
3. Rank the Long Range Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian projects based on approved evaluation criteria.	11
4. Review the analysis of the proposed future road network scenarios.	16
5. MTP Transit Plan	17
a. Review population and employment growth areas	
b. Review potential transit expansion options and transit hub locations	
c. Identify additional expansion options within the MPO.	
6. Receive a report on the MTP Financial Plan.	18
7. Review the MTP Update Timeline and Schedule.	22
8. Receive a report from NMDOT.	
a. District 5 ( <i>David Martinez</i> )	
b. Planning Division ( <i>Bob Widoe</i> )	
9. Information Items	27
a. Joint Policy/Technical Committee Meeting - November 19 in Aztec.	
b. FY2010 UPWP 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter Report.	
c. Quarterly Newsletter.	
d. Safe Routes to School.	
e. 2009 Traffic Count Program	
f. Other	
10. Business from:	
a. Chairman	
b. Members	
c. Staff	
11. Business from the floor	
12. Adjournment	

**ATTENTION PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES:** If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the MPO Officer at the Farmington Community Development Office, 805 Municipal, Farmington, New Mexico, at least one week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes, can be provided in various accessible formats. Please contact the MPO Officer at the Farmington Community Development office if a summary or other type of accessible format is needed.

**MINUTES  
FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING  
September 24, 2009 10:00 a.m.**

Members Present: Dave Keck, San Juan County  
Steve Christensen, City of Aztec  
Cindy Lopez, City of Farmington  
Chico Quintana, City of Farmington, Alternate

Members Absent: Julie Baird, City of Bloomfield  
Nica J. Westerling, City of Farmington

Staff Present: Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner  
Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner  
Dee Dee Moore, MPO Admin Aide

Staff Absent: Mike Sullivan, MPO Officer

Also Present: Dr. Bob Widoe, NMDOT  
Dave Martinez, NMDOT  
Roshanna Moojan, NWNMCOG  
Linda Sillers, San Juan Center for Independence  
Paula Gruber, SJCI  
Larry Joe, Navajo DOT Shiprock  
Jim Maes, Citizen  
Leonard Trujillo, Citizen

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Keck called the meeting to order at 10:11 am.

**2. APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE AUGUST 27, 2009 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING**

Motion to approve the minutes from the August 27, 2009 Technical Committee Meeting was made by Mr. Christensen and seconded by Mr. Quintana. Motion passed unanimously.

3. **RANK THE MID-RANGE REGIONAL BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS BASED ON APPROVED EVALUATION CRITERIA.**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Ranking Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	September 16, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- A list of regional bicycle/pedestrian projects were identified as part of the MPO Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.
- Evaluation criteria for prioritizing projects were adopted as part of the plan.
- Projects have been initially grouped into ranges in preparation for the ranking and prioritization process.
- The Technical Committee has ranked the short range projects.

**CURRENT WORK**

- The Technical Committee has agreed to rank the projects using a 0-5 point scale which is applied to each evaluation category.
- Projects that were voted in the top 10 by the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Group (BPAG) will receive supplemental points.
- The Technical Committee will rank the mid-range projects on September 24.
- Staff will total the rankings and present the results.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Rank long-range projects in October.
- Projects from all three ranges will be compared following the ranking exercises to determine a final prioritized list.
- Approve a prioritized list of bicycle/pedestrian projects as part of the MTP update.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Evaluation Criteria.
- Mid-Range Project Ranking Template (provided under separate cover).
- Average scores from the short range project rankings.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee rank the Mid-Range Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian projects based on approved evaluation criteria.

**Discussion:** Mr. Delmagori shared the overall ranking from the Short-Range Projects from last month. Mr. Delmagori proceeded to discuss each mid-range project's pros and cons to assist the Technical Committee members with questions they had regarding the evaluation criteria. Each project was ranked during the meeting by the Technical Committee members. After all the projects were discussed and ranked, Dr. Widoe shared that he has seen cities that have promoted Economic Development along their river corridors and have created successful public areas for recreation and events. Mr. Delmagori stated that the Long-Range projects would be ranked at the next Technical Committee meeting in October 2009. Mr. Christensen asked the MPO Staff to rank the projects to see how their rankings compare to the Technical Committee member rankings.

**Action:** The Technical Committee ranked the Mid-Range Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects and provided those forms to Ms. Moore.

**4. REVIEW THE ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED FUTURE ROAD NETWORK PROJECTS**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Proposed Future Roadway Analysis
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
<b>Date:</b>	September 16, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- Staff developed a future road network map that identifies potential future road improvements and proposed road classification changes.
- Staff worked with each individual entity to evaluate each proposed road change and classification.
- Staff held four public meetings in August to seek input on the future road network map and public input on road network priorities.
- The Policy Committee reviewed the proposed road analysis at its September 17<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- The model has been calibrated for both the base year and 2035 model year using the newest adopted land use numbers.

**CURRENT WORK**

- Model runs have been performed for the base year and future 2035 model year.
- Difference networks have been created to show the impact of different road scenarios.
- A presentation of the scenarios will be discussed with the Technical Committee members on September 24.
- Project Evaluation is being considered with regards to impacts and possible future issues such as ROW acquisition.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Calibrate midyear model for 2015.
- Perform model runs for the model year 2015.
- Prioritize road network based on evaluation categories, feasibility, and efficiency.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Road network model runs and data analysis provided to the members under separate cover.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee review the analysis of the proposed future road network projects.

**Discussion:** Mr. Lucero provided a PowerPoint presentation to show the various road network scenarios. The base model for all the scenarios included the completion of the East Arterial in Aztec from US 550 to NM 173, the Pinon Hills Bridge and six lanes for the ends of US64 in Bloomfield and Farmington. After Mr. Lucero described the first scenario, Mr. Christensen summarized his thoughts based on this presentation and the presentation to the Policy Committee on September 17, 2009. He spoke about the potential of building the Highline Road and the impact to US64 and NM516. Mr. Delmagori confirmed to Mr. Christensen that he was on the right track and that the point of this exercise is to try and show what impact other proposed roads will have on the existing network. Mr. Martinez cautioned the committee regarding reevaluating a project that the NMDOT has seen as a current existing need. Dr. Widoe expressed that the existing need on US64 is now and that any new alternate routes would take four to five years and that funding for new roads is extremely difficult to procure.

Mr. Lucero shared that the next scenario builds on the previous one by adding in the Northern Route which runs from Hood Mesa Trail north of Farmington to NM516 North of Aztec, at approximately Cedar Hill. This road would be built as limited access, two lanes and a high speed road. The next scenario Mr. Lucero showed was making US64 six lanes all the way from Farmington to Bloomfield. This shows that the traffic moves through the East Arterial across the mesa on the Highline Road to CR350 and continues on CR350 to US64.

Continuing on Mr. Lucero removed the Highline Road and explained the increases and decreases in traffic on existing roads. He proceeded to go through the same steps with removing sections of the Northern Route and also how all the roads would function if everything was built. Dr. Widoe stated that having this will be most important over the next 5 years as EPA standards become more stringent and the greenhouse gases become more prominent. These are the scenarios that will be needed to meet environmental and transportation criteria for future funding.

**Action:** The Technical Committee reviewed the proposed future road network project analysis.

5. REVIEW THE TRANSIT NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND POTENTIAL TRANSIT EXPANSION OPTIONS

FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item

<b>Subject:</b>	MTP Update Transit Plan
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	September 16, 2009

#### BACKGROUND

- The transit system will be analyzed as part of the MTP Update to ensure that transit service meets future demand.
- A needs assessment for Red Apple has been started.
- Staff has collected Census data for vehicle ownership and for elderly, disabled, and low-income populations by census tract to better understand potential transit demand.

#### CURRENT WORK

- Potential transit demand based on Census 2000 data has been projected out to future years.
- Staff is using land use data to determine areas of future population and employment growth.
- Transit expansion options will be presented that show links among the growth areas.
- Maps and data will be presented to the Technical Committee members at their meeting on September 24.

#### ANTICIPATED WORK

- Develop annual ridership projections based on future transit demand.
- Link future growth areas with transit expansion options.

#### RECOMMENDATION

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee review the transit needs assessment and potential transit expansion options.

**Discussion:** Mr. Delmagori shared a handout with members regarding the census track numbers that provide the basis for potential transit demand. The top five census tracks with high levels of poverty, elderly, and low income populations in the MPO Area were highlighted and a location description was provided. They included the south end of Farmington, the East Central part of Farmington, Downtown Farmington, and areas in Crouch Mesa and near Bloomfield.

Anticipated population growth by Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) was overlaid with the existing Red Apple Transit Lines. The maps also identified spur or feeder routes and potential transit hubs as expansion options. The feeder routes are intended to serve as many of the growth areas as possible. The potential transit hubs could be located at places like Safeway in Aztec, the Library/Cultural Center in Bloomfield, the Fairgrounds/Casino near McGee Park, the intersection of CR350/390 in Crouch Mesa, the intersection of CR350/NM516 in Flora Vista and at US64/CR6500 in Kirtland. All proposed feeder routes would terminate at these hubs. Dr. Widoe mentioned that it

would be good to be kept apprised of the external impacts such as Raytheon, Napi and the transit system in Ignacio.

**Action:** The Technical Committee received the report.

**6. RECEIVE A REPORT FROM NMDOT**

**Discussion:** Mr. Martinez provided updates to the ARRA program. The San Juan County project being submitted for authorization will be processed in October. Mr. Keck asked whether he should wait regarding the PS&E meeting with Benny and Mr. Martinez said no, that everything was ready to go and he would provide Benny with the certification information. The City of Bloomfield project provided their Right of Way certifications so only the environmental certifications are outstanding and the NMED is working on that. The City of Aztec has a right of way certification in process however the project has been authorized. The City of Farmington project still needs two certifications, the environmental and the right of way, however the DOT is working on those now. Mr. Quintana asked about the reporting process that is to start on October 1<sup>st</sup>. Mr. Martinez had not heard of an October 1<sup>st</sup> deadline for project reporting but will research that issue and get back with everyone with an email. Mr. Martinez shared that John McElroy will be retiring at the end of October.

Dr. Widoe congratulated everyone on successfully accomplishing the ARRA Project processing. Some areas are having difficulty providing the criteria and may stand to lose their share of funding. Dr. Widoe stated that the nationwide rescission of \$8.7 billion is still being looked at in New Mexico where the state share is \$82.3 million. The additional PL funding for the MPO's this year from the unobligated planning funding appears to be safe.

Mr. Martinez reminded everyone that training for entities that are awarded an ARRA project will be provided for more than one person from each entity and the training would be for the LCP Tracker and the B2GNow Software that is a requirement for the reporting requirements.

Dr. Widoe shared that within the next month the Technical Committee and the MPO Staff would have an opportunity to look at the Long Range Statewide Transportation Plan. Also, the MPO Handbook that he has been working on will be ready for comment and review in the next couple of weeks.

**7. RECEIVE A REPORT OF THE SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (SRTS) PROGRAM**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	September 16, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- The City of Farmington was awarded a SRTS Phase 1 grant in July.
- The SRTS Committee in Farmington meets monthly to discuss upcoming activities.
- Active school participants include Mesa Verde, McKinley, and Apache schools.

**CURRENT WORK**

- Student arrival counts are being taken at the three participating schools during the month of September to track the number of students who are dropped off, take the bus, or walk/bike.
- Evaluations of areas of concern at the schools are being conducted this month.
- The SRTS Committee is developing a 'Walk Across America' program activity for the school year.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Develop an Action Plan and apply for SRTS Phase 2 funding.
- Participate in the October 7 International Walk to School Day.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on the Safe Routes to School program.

**Discussion:** Mr. Delmagori stated that the student arrival counts were completed at all three participating schools and the schools will be participating in a separate Walk Across America program. October 7<sup>th</sup> is the International Walk to School Day and will be reported on by the Daily Times newspaper.

**Action:** The report was received.

**8. RECEIVE A REPORT ON RED APPLE TRANSIT**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

**Subject:** Red Apple Transit Update  
**Prepared by:** Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner  
**Date:** September 16, 2009

**RED APPLE UPDATE**

- Overall ridership reached a new peak in ridership of 11,610 passengers in July.
- Farmington saw a 5.60% increase in ridership over the previous month.
- Aztec, Bloomfield, and Kirtland all saw increases in ridership over previous months, with 34.87%, 8.52%, and 28.94% respectfully.
- Aztec and Kirtland had increases over the same period last year with 2.50% and 108.97% respectfully.

- Bloomfield had a decrease of -20.70% over the same period last year.
- The ridership report is attached.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on Red Apple Transit.

**Discussion:** Mr. Lucero discussed the ridership numbers had reached an all time high of 11,610 in July. The only route to see a decrease was Bloomfield and at this time the MPO Staff is looking at possible reasons such as bus capacity or timing issues. Mr. Christenson asked why the same drop was not experienced along the other regional routes such as Bloomfield. Mr. Lucero indicated that maybe the other routes have not hit the same overall volume in ridership as Bloomfield. The Policy Committee directed the MPO Staff to start the transit study and follow-up with a consultant if necessary to evaluate the system.

**Action:** The report was received.

**9. INFORMATION ITEMS**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Information Items
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	September 16, 2009

**INFORMATION ITEMS**

- Four Corners Air Quality Meeting.** The New Mexico Environmental Department hosted a meeting on regional air quality in Durango on August 27. Initial results of measures to reduce ozone were presented and discussed. At this time, most mitigation strategies are focused on reducing emissions at power plants and from the oil/gas industry.
- VISUM Advanced Training Course.** PTV America, the company that owns the VISUM travel model program, will provide a one day training on the software in Santa Fe on September 29.
- MPO Quarterly.** The Santa Fe MPO will host the next MPO Quarterly on September 30.
- Statewide Traffic Count Program Meeting.** On October 1 in Santa Fe, the NMDOT Traffic Count Bureau will hold a statewide meeting to review traffic count programs, procedures, and formats.

- e. **Traffic Model Training Work Session.** Staff would like to organize a Work Session to provide a general discussion on traffic modeling and to present some of the elements of the VISUM traffic model.
- f. **Other.**

**Discussion:** Mr. Delmagori provided information regarding the Air Quality Update meeting that was held in Durango last month. Mr. Lucero and Mr. Delmagori will be attending multiple trainings in Santa Fe the last week of September. Mr. Delmagori stated that the November meeting will be a joint session of the Policy and the Technical Committees. The meeting will be in Aztec on November 19<sup>th</sup> and will be from 10:00am to 2:00pm with lunch brought in for members. Mr. Delmagori introduced Jim Maes who is with the Forest Service but is presenting today as a citizen.

Mr. Maes provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding expanding, upgrading or reconstructing NM173 between Aztec (US550) and Navajo Dam (NM511). This idea was first introduced about 12 years ago and approved by the RPO at that time. Various data collected for the road during 2003 to 2007 shows that there were two fatalities and 24 accidents just at mile marker four. The increase in the Oil & Gas Industry has contributed to the safety concerns because of the heavy equipment deteriorating the roadway. The narrow roads are unequipped to handle recreational boats, vehicles and school buses. Mr. Maes stated that the current conditions of the road are unable to handle the capacity of the increased traffic flow with deteriorating bridges, cattle guards and dips, all of which compound the concerns. Certain dangerous situations include limited vision over hills, cattle, and stalled or turning vehicles on the road. These conditions are increased during the winter when ice is present. Proposed changes would provide faster access for emergency responders, greater economic development, and increased business to local shop owners at Navajo Dam with revenues from the State Park. The preferred scenarios would be to: first reconstruct the road to four lanes, reduce dips and add turnouts; and second widen shoulders, reduce dips, and add passing lanes. There is a definite need for a signal at the intersection of US550 and NM173. Mr. Maes concluded his presentation with suggestions on funding the project, whether it is through cooperative funding, through private business owners, the oil/gas industry, stimulus funding, or the State Highway funds. Dr. Widoe mentioned the possibility of safety funds could apply to this project.

Mr. Martinez stated that he was working on the accident/crash statistics and would provide that information when it was complete. Mr. Leonard Trujillo added personal history about the beginning on the road and the importance of the Five Mile Bridge. Mr. Christensen commented that the first mile of NM173 is currently part of the East Arterial project and that other phases of that project will be dependent on additional funding. He also asked Mr. Maes if he would present to the City of Aztec Commission. Dr. Widoe suggested completing a Project Information Form (PIF) and a Safety Application for this project. Mr. Delmagori added that the MPO would incorporate this into the MTP process and eventually it may end up in the Transportation Improvement Program.

**Action:** The report was received

**10. BUSINESS FROM THE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS AND STAFF**

**Discussion:** Mr. Keck stated that Mr. Pete would no longer be able to be the alternate for San Juan County and TJ Richards would be assuming that responsibility. There was no business from the members or staff.

**11. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR**

**Discussion:** Mr. Larry Joe, planner from Navajo DOT located in Shiprock, gave an update to the Committee. The Navajo Nation currently has over 600 unpaved roads and only the state routes are paved. Safety issues have been determined by the parents and school administrators regarding the bus routes and stops for the children that are attending school outside of the Navajo Nation. Mr. Joe is looking to partner with other entities and share resources to help improve their roads. The Bureau of Indian Affairs has the responsibility to blade the dirt roads but don't have the equipment and personnel to keep up with the maintenance. Mr. Joe stated that Shiprock is starting to work on a comprehensive plan. Mr. Keck stated that funding in the amount of \$270,000 was received for the design for the bridge at the Chapter House. The proposal will be submitted in early November and suggested speaking with Dave Martinez about other alternatives. Dr. Widoe shared that it was great to see interaction between the Navajo DOT, the RPO and the MPO.

**12. ADJOURNMENT**

**Discussion:** Mr. Christensen made the motion to adjourn, Mr. Quintana seconded the motion and the meeting was adjourned at 12:27pm.

---

Dave Keck, Chairman

---

Dee Dee Moore, MPO Admin Aide

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
**Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Ranking Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 14, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- A list of regional bicycle/pedestrian projects were identified as part of the MPO Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan.
- Evaluation criteria for prioritizing projects were adopted as part of the plan.
- Projects have been initially grouped into ranges in preparation for the ranking and prioritization process.
- The Technical Committee has ranked the short and mid range projects.

**CURRENT WORK**

- The Technical Committee has agreed to rank the projects using a 0-5 point scale which is applied to each evaluation category.
- Projects that were voted in the top 10 by the Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Group (BPAG) will receive supplemental points.
- The Technical Committee will rank the long range projects on October 22.
- Staff will total the rankings and present the results.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Compare projects from all three ranges following the ranking exercises to determine a final prioritized list.
- Approve a prioritized list of bicycle/pedestrian projects as part of the MTP update.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Evaluation Criteria.
- Long range project ranking template (provided under separate cover).
- Average scores from the short and mid range project rankings.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee rank the Long Range Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian projects based on approved evaluation criteria.

## Technical Committee Short Range Average Project Ranking Scores

Map Num	City or Area Location	Road/Facility Name	From Road/ Place	To Road/ Place	Type of facility	Location Type	ESTIMATED COST	Issues or Concerns	BPAG VOTING RANK	Point Scale Range from 0 to 5 points					BPAG Points	TC Avg Score
										Econ Vital	Safety	Access	Connect	Funding/ Cost		
8	Farmington	Butler to San Juan College along Sunrise	Butler	San Juan College	Multimodal	Multi-Use Path	\$136,535	ROW; need SJ College involvement	2	2.4	4.2	3.4	4.4	2.4	3	19.8
14A	Farmington	Pinon Hills Blvd	30th	Sports Complex	Bike lanes	On-road	\$143,010	Need to narrow medians at Sports Complex to be able to mark bike lanes	T-15	3	4.4	3.8	4	3.2	1.4	19.8
22	Farmington, County	PHB Extension/ CR 3900	CR 390/ CR3900	PHB/ E Main	Multimodal	Multi-Use Path	\$755,300	Part of PHB project	T-64	3.6	4.2	3.8	4.6	2.8	0.6	19.6
23	Bloomfield, County	CR 5500 (West Hammond)	US 64	US 550	Bike Route (Bike lanes)	On-road	\$0	Is there ROW for bike lanes?	N/A	2.8	3.4	3	2.2	3.6	0.6	15.6
24	County	CR 3000	Browning Pkwy	US 550	Bike route	On-road	\$0		N/A	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.2	3.4	0.6	18
26	County	La Plata Rd (NM 170)	US 64	North end MPO boundary	Bike route	On-road	\$0		N/A	2.4	3.8	2.8	2.6	3.4	0.6	15.6
27	County	Old Aztec Hwy (CR 3520 and CR 3050)	NM 516/ CR 3520	NM 516/ CR 3050 (east end)	Bike route	On-road	\$0		N/A	2.8	3.8	3.2	3.2	3.8	0.6	17.4
28	Aztec, County	Light Plant Rd (NM 574)	NM 516	North end MPO boundary	Bike route	On-road	\$0	Need to look at intersections; ROW	N/A	2.8	3.8	4	3.4	3.6	0.6	18.2
38	Bloomfield	Blanco Blvd. (east)	US 550 N (1st St)	US 64	Bike lanes and sidewalks	On-road	\$830,700	Bloomfield #1 Priority	T-32	3.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	3.6	1.6	21.8
42	Bloomfield	CR 4935 (Arroyo)	Arroyo/ E. Blanco	CR 4900 (Arizona)/ US 550	Bike route	On-road	\$0		N/A	2.2	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.4	0.6	17.4
45	Aztec	Ruins Road Trail	Chaco/NM 516	Trail Junction	Multimodal	Off-road	\$42,000		T-22	3.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	3.8	1.2	21.9
46	Aztec	Riverside Trail	North end of River trail	Aztec Ruins via River Trl	Multimodal	Off-road	\$300,000		T-24	2.5	4	3.9	4	3.4	1.2	19
51	Aztec	Riverside Trail B	Existing river trail	Existing Riverside Pk loop trail	Multimodal	Off-road	\$52,000		T-36	2.5	4.3	4.2	4.4	3.6	1.2	20.2
49	Aztec	Oliver-McWilliams trail	50 acre open space	Ruins Road trail junction	Multimodal	On- and Off-road	\$986,406		T-43	3.9	4.5	4.2	4.4	3	1	21
<b>14 Short Range Regional Projects</b>							<b>\$3,245,951</b>									

Estimated cost based on length of project times cost of construction material. No engineering or ROW costs are available at this time.  
 Costs for bike routes would likely be just for signage and are therefore minimal.

## Technical Committee Mid Range Average Project Ranking Scores

Map Num	City or Area Location	Road/Facility Name	From Road/ Place	To Road/ Place	Type of facility	Location Type	Length (feet)	ESTIMATED COST	Issues or Concerns	BPAG VOTING RANK	Point Scale Range from 0 to 5 points					0-3 points	
											Economic Vitality	Safety	Accessibility	Connectivity	Funding/ Cost	BPAG Points	TC TOTAL Score
11	Farmington/County	Wildflower Parkway	Approx. at Yarbrow	CR 350	Multimodal	Multi-Use Path	19500	\$566,475	ROW on CR 390 in the County	T-69	3.8	4.2	4.4	4.4	3	1.2	21
14B	Farmington/County	Twin Peaks Rd from NM 170 to Troy King Road	NM 170	Troy King Rd	Bike lanes	On-road	6575	\$268,655	Is there ROW for bike lanes on Twin Peaks	T-15	3	3.6	3.6	3.6	2.8	1.6	18.2
18	Aztec to Farmington	Animas River trail from east Farmington to Riverside Park in Aztec	Animas River (south of Farmington Lake)	Hartman Park (Aztec)	Multimodal	Off-road	34000	\$81,940	property ownership along river	T-13	2.4	3.4	2.8	3.6	1.6	1.6	15.4
19	Aztec to Bloomfield	Connect from Animas River trail to Bloomfield	Hartman Park (Aztec)	Blanco/Ruth Ln (Bloomfield)	Multimodal	Multi-Use Path	37300	\$1,083,565	Preserve ROW before development is built	1	3.4	4	3.6	4	1.8	2.4	19.2
21	Bloomfield, Fmtn, County	Path parallel to US 64	Andrea/US 64	Ruth Ln/US 64	Multimodal	Multi-Use Path	42000	\$1,220,100	Remove from list?	T-15	3.2	3.8	3.8	3.6	2.6	1.4	18.4
25	County (Kirtland)	Kirtland path	Troy King/ Twin Peaks	CR 6675/ CR 6100	Twin Pks & CR6500; Multi-use path on CR6400 &	On-road, Multi-use path	14100	\$409,605		T-8	3.2	3.6	3.8	3.6	2.4	1.4	18
37	County	CR 350	US 64	NM 516	Bike lanes	On-road	39700	\$1,622,142	Requires widening road for bike lanes?	T-35	3.8	4	4.2	4.4	2.6	1.4	20.4
43	Farmington	San Juan and East Main	San Juan/Butler	E Main/ Piñon Hills	Wide sidewalk/ path	Multi-use path	24500	\$711,725	ROW issues along East Main	T-27	3.6	3.4	4.4	4.2	2.8	1.8	20.2
63	Farmington, County	Glade Road	PHB/GladeRd	Farm Lake Trail	Multimodal	Off-road	20400	\$49,164		T-23	3	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.6	1.6	18
66	Farmington	Lions Wilderness Trail	LionsWildPk	Fmtn Lake Trail	Multimodal	Off-road	15800	\$38,078	COF Parks working with BLM to get ROW for trail	T-49	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.6	1.2	19.4
72	Farmington	La Plata River	WestlandPark	Sports Complex	Multimodal	Off-road	6700	\$16,147	Need trails easements & property	T-27	2.8	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.2	1.6	17.6
79	Farmington, County	San Juan River	SanJuan/ AnimasRiver junction	River Bend Park	Multimodal	Off-road	11300	\$27,233	Need trails easements & property	T-55	3.2	3	3.4	3.6	3.2	1	17.4
12 Identified Mid-Term Regional Projects			Estimated Total Cost of Regional Projects			\$6,094,829											

## **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR REGIONAL BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN PROJECTS**

Approved by the MPO Policy Committee on March 13, 2008

- **Economic Vitality** - Transportation costs for motorized vehicles may prevent persons from seeking work in the MPO area and elsewhere. By improving walking and biking facilities, and *especially walking and biking connections to transit*, options become available to facilitate transportation to employment areas for persons who otherwise give up seeking work because of transportation issues. Biking and walking improvements that lead to greater transit use may benefit area employers as well by providing them a larger pool of applicants.

Criteria: Will the pedestrian/bicycle improvement provide a direct link from a residential neighborhood to employment and to transit?

Criteria: Does the improvement provide a travel or recreational option for tourists to this area?

Criteria: Can the project improve quality of life by providing multimodal alternatives?

- **Safety** – Facilities for pedestrians and cyclists should have as few conflict points as possible. Intersections are the areas of the most interactions among motorists, cyclists, and pedestrians. Visual obstructions (high walls, overgrowth) should be kept to a minimum and buffer zones between sidewalks and high-speed, high-volume roads should be provided wherever possible. Adequate lighting is needed for off-street facilities. Bike racks should be available at civic buildings and at major employment centers.

Criteria: Does the improvement provide pedestrian amenities (lighting, painted crosswalks, pedestrian signals, etc) that could reduce accidents and fatalities?

Criteria: Does the improvement require bicyclists to interact with vehicles?

Criteria: Does the project provide a wide shoulder for a cyclist?

- **Accessibility, Mobility & Congestion** - The site-specific conditions that make it easier/difficult to get in and out of a destination. Accessibility would consider walking and biking improvements and ADA-compliance for facilities located *within* a development/activity center as well a 1/4 mile around the center. Mobility determines the flow of travel and congestion occurs when volumes are higher than the road's capacity.

Increase the number of destinations that are **accessible** by pedestrian and bicycle routes (i.e., sidewalks, bike lanes, and multimodal paths). Destinations include:

- a) Schools and school bus routes.
- b) Parks and cultural facilities

- c) Major employment centers
- d) Government buildings
- e) Shopping centers
- f) Other community facilities such as places of worship and non-profit civic associations.
- g) Transit stops.
- h) High density residential areas

Criteria: Does the pedestrian/bicycle improvement serve and increase **accessibility** to one or more of these destinations?

Criteria: Is the improvement located within a ¼ mile of a transit stop and ADA compliant?

- **Integration and Connectivity** - The network-level conditions that make it easier/difficult to get from one destination to another. This would include the links that serve between activity centers, major intersections, and critical corridors.

Increase the number of destinations that are **connected** by pedestrian and bicycle routes (i.e., sidewalks, bike lanes, and multimodal paths). Destinations include:

- a) Schools (elementary through college)
- b) Parks and cultural centers
- c) Major Employment centers
- d) Government buildings
- e) Shopping centers
- f) Other community facilities such as places of worship and non-profit civic associations.
- g) Transit locations
- h) High density residential neighborhoods

Criteria: How many of the identified destinations are **connected** by the pedestrian/bicycle improvement?

- **Funding & Costs** – Some pedestrian and bicycle improvements can be integrated into road construction costs. Others, which may be stand alone or entirely new facilities, will typically involve higher costs.

Criteria: Can the improvement be completed simultaneously during new road construction or during retrofit?

Criteria: Does the project provide a significant benefit to the region at a low cost?

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
**Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Proposed Future Roadway Analysis
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 14, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- The Technical Committee reviewed the proposed road analysis at its September 24<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- The model has been calibrated for both the base year and 2035 model year using the newest adopted land use numbers.
- Model runs have been performed for the base year and future 2035 model year.
- Difference networks have been created to show the impact that different road scenarios might have on traffic volumes.

**CURRENT WORK**

- Additional discussion on various scenarios will be discussed with the Technical Committee members on October 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- Project evaluation is being considered with regards to impacts and possible future issues such as ROW acquisition.
- Projected traffic volumes, vehicle miles traveled (VMTs), and vehicle hours traveled (VHTs) are being calculated to help facilitate discussion and evaluate the proposed scenarios.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Perform additional model runs for additionally requested scenarios.
- Prioritize road projects based on evaluation categories and feasibility.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Comparisons of traffic volumes, VMTs, and VHTs will be provided under separate cover at the Technical Committee Meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee review the analysis of the proposed future road network projects.

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	MTP Update Transit Plan
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 14, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- The transit system will be analyzed as part of the MTP Update to ensure that transit service meets future demand.
- Staff has collected Census data for vehicle ownership and for elderly, disabled, and low-income populations by census tract to better understand potential transit demand.
- Using Census 2000 data, potential transit demand has been projected out to future years (2020 and 2035).
- Regional transit options were presented to begin linking areas of future population growth.

**CURRENT WORK**

- Areas of future population and employment growth will be presented.
- Initial transit expansion options will be reviewed with the Technical Committee.
- Staff will work with the members on ways to link destinations within the MPO.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Develop various scenarios for transit expansion options.
- Determine costs and benefits to the Red Apple system based on those scenarios.
- Develop annual ridership projections based on future transit demand.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Maps and data will be presented to the Technical Committee at their meeting on October 22.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee:
  - a. Review population and employment growth areas
  - b. Review potential transit expansion options and transit hub locations
  - c. Identify additional expansion options within the MPO

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
**Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	MTP Financial Plan
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 14, 2009

**BACKGROUND**

- A SAFETEA-LU requirements for the MTP is to have a fiscally constrained financial plan (23 CFR 450.322 (f)):
  - The financial plan shall contain project estimate costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to adequately operate and maintain Federal-aid highways and public transportation.
  - Revenue and cost estimates in the first 10 years must reflect ‘year of expenditure dollars’ developed in cooperation with the MPO, NMDOT, and transit operators.
  - Cost ranges can be used for projects beyond 10 years.

**CURRENT WORK**

- Staff is working with District 5 to determine the percent of funding that is typically programmed to this area each year.
- Staff has developed cost estimates for road projects for the Technical Committee to review.
- The MPO will work with the District to determine targets that will help estimate federal funding revenues for the MPO over 25 years.

**ANTICIPATED WORK**

- Determine cost estimates that reflect year of expenditure dollars.
- Determine available revenues for the funding of projects.
- Determine costs and revenues for transit projects.

**ATTACHMENTS**

- Federal regulations on the MTP Financial Plan.
- Estimated costs for projects identified as part of the MTP update.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee receive a report on the MTP Financial Plan.

## 23 CFR 450.322 (f) - MTP Financial Plan

(10) A financial plan that demonstrates how the adopted transportation plan can be implemented.

(i) For purposes of transportation system operations and maintenance, the financial plan shall contain system-level estimates of costs and revenue sources that are reasonably expected to be available to adequately operate and maintain Federal-aid highways (as defined by 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(5)) and public transportation (as defined by title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53).

(ii) For the purpose of developing the metropolitan transportation plan, the MPO, public transportation operator(s), and State shall cooperatively develop estimates of funds that will be available to support metropolitan transportation plan implementation, as required under § 450.314(a). All necessary financial resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made available to carry out the transportation plan shall be identified.

(iii) The financial plan shall include recommendations on any additional financing strategies to fund projects and programs included in the metropolitan transportation plan. In the case of new funding sources, strategies for ensuring their availability shall be identified.

(iv) In developing the financial plan, the MPO shall take into account all projects and strategies proposed for funding under title 23 U.S.C., title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 or with other Federal funds; State assistance; local sources; and private participation. Starting December 11, 2007, revenue and cost estimates that support the metropolitan transportation plan must use an inflation rate(s) to reflect “year of expenditure dollars,” based on reasonable financial principles and information, developed cooperatively by the MPO, State(s), and public transportation operator(s).

(v) For the outer years of the metropolitan transportation plan (i.e., beyond the first 10 years), the financial plan may reflect aggregate cost ranges/cost bands, as long as the future funding source(s) is reasonably expected to be available to support the projected cost ranges/cost bands.

(vi) For nonattainment and maintenance areas, the financial plan shall address the specific financial strategies required to ensure the implementation of TCMs in the applicable SIP. (vii) For illustrative purposes, the financial plan may (but is not required to) include additional projects that would be included in the adopted transportation plan if additional resources beyond those identified in the financial plan were to become available.

**Road Improvement Projects Identified in the Current MTP**

<b>Map Num</b>	<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>Termini</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Length (feet)</b>	<b>Est. Project Cost</b>
17	US 64 Farmington to Bloomfield	Browning Pkwy to US 550	Widen to 6 lanes; implement access management; install traffic signals	36960	
10	NM 516	Pinon Hills to Lt Plant Rd	Widen to 6 lanes; implement access management; install traffic signals	43930	
5	Browning	US 64 to E. Main	Widen to 6 lanes	9504	\$3,340,846
7	Wildflower Pkwy	Approx. Yarbrow to CR 350	Upgrade road - add TWLTL, curb/gutter, sidewalk, bike lanes	18000	\$6,132,825
11	NM 516/US 550	Lt Plant Rd to NM 173	Widen to 6 lanes and add accel/decel lanes	10454	
2	Pinon Hills Blvd	Sports Complex to Butler	Widen to 4 lanes	18510	\$8,614,940
1	US 64	Troy King to Murray	Widen to 6 lanes	10450	\$2,971,144
12	Lt Plant Rd	NM 516 north	Upgrade road to Minor Arterial; curb/gutter, sidewalks	5000	\$3,070,738
8	Foothills/English	PHB or East Main to Crestwood	Either upgrade Foothills to Minor Arterial or extend English	3600	\$1,130,565
4	Butler	38th St to PHB	Widen to 4 lanes	6105	\$3,166,654

**\$28,427,712**

**New Road Projects Identified in the Current MTP**

Map Num	Facility Name	Termini	Project Description	Functional Class	Length (feet)	Est. Project Cost
9	Pinon Hills East/ CR 3900	NM 516 to CR 390	Construct new bridge and 4 lane road	Proposed Principal & Minor Arterials	13650	\$26,500,000
15	East Arterial (South)	US 550 to NM 173	Construct new 2 lane road (preserve ROW for 2 additional lanes)	Proposed Principal Arterial	14784	\$18,700,000
16	East Arterial (North)	NM 173 to US 550	Construct new 2 lane road (preserve ROW for 2 additional lanes)	Proposed Principal Arterial	19025	\$11,031,837
14	Highline Road	CR 3950 to US 550	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Principal Arterial	27880	\$14,501,355

**\$70,733,192**

**Additional Road Projects Identified as Part of the MTP Update (Summer 2009)**

Map Num	Facility Name	Termini	Project Description	Functional Class	Length (feet)	Est. Project Cost
N1	Northern Route	Foothills to US 550	Construct new 2 lane road from Farmington to Aztec	Proposed Minor Arterial	61600	\$70,000,000
N2	Lakewood Extension	Current terminus to CR 3535	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Collector	11900	\$4,683,189
N3	New Road east of Foothills	NM 516 to Lakewood	Construct 2 lane road	Proposed Collector	8285	\$3,670,412
N4	New Road	NM 516 to Northern Route	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Collector	14350	\$6,146,611
N5	CR 5030	CR 350 to US 550	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Collector	29460	\$11,959,146
N6	Newby Ln/ CR 5075	US 64 to CR 5030	Upgrade road to Collector	Proposed Collector	10500	\$4,205,920
N7	CR 6480 Extension	CR 6500 to Shiprock	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Minor Arterial	89760	\$120,000,000
N8	CR 3950 Extension	Current terminus to CR 3720	Construct new 2 lane road	Proposed Minor Arterial	9320	\$3,803,650
N9	CR 4990	US 550 east	Upgrade road to Minor Arterial	Proposed Minor Arterial	78000	\$16,588,520

**\$241,057,448**

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	MTP Update Timeline and Schedule
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Joe Delmagori, MPO Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 13, 2009

**CURRENT WORK**

- Staff has maintained a timeline and work schedule for tracking MTP activities.
- The most current timeline is attached for information.
- The timeline shows completed projects, ongoing work, and upcoming activities along with anticipated timeframes by month.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- It is recommended that the Technical Committee review the MTP Update Timeline and Schedule.

**DRAFT TIMELINE FOR THE MTP UPDATE**

October 2008 to April 2010

Activities & Tasks of the MTP Update Sorted by Month

	<b>STATUS REPORT</b>	Oct 2008	Nov 2008	Dec 2008	Jan 2009	Feb 2009	Mar 2009	Apr 2009	May 2009	Jun 2009	Jul 2009	Aug 2009	Sept 2009	Oct 2009	Nov 2009	Dec 2009	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010
Public meetings	<i>Held Nov 12; Feb 18,19, 23; Mar 11; Aug 11, 12, 14, 26</i>		X			X						X			X			X		
Participate in public involvement events	<i>Presentations to Kiwanis Clubs, Senior Ctr, NM Seniors, SJCI, Dr. Henderson group. Attended Aztec Ruins Earth Day, Bike Rodeo; Future Rd Presentations to Councils/Commissions</i>																			
Vision/Mission	<i>Reviewed by PC &amp; TC on Nov 13 &amp; Nov 20; recommended approval by TC on May 28; approved on June 18</i>																			
Coordinate with Red Apple on vision, goals, needs, plans	<i>Met with Rod on Oct 21; provided update on May 27</i>																			
Analysis of current ridership & system network	<i>Annual ridership stats have been collected</i>																			
Evaluation of conditions at pilot school	<i>Student arrival charts completed on Oct 24; SRTS application submitted Dec 10; student arrival counts taken Apr 13, Apr 20, Sept 8, 15, 22;</i>																			
Gather travel data from truck companies	<i>Contact with Truckers Assoc. in Dec</i>																			
Develop Bike/Ped project specifics (alignment, length)	<i>Was postponed until Summer 2009; completed entity reviews in June/July</i>																			
Review entity statistics	<i>Call for data sent Oct 29; done</i>																			
Interview truck companies to understand their future needs																				
Issue and analyze transit ridership survey	<i>Surveys issued in Nov 2008; re-issued in Mar 2009; done</i>																			
Comprehensive transportation survey for the public	<i>Surveys issued in Nov 2008; re-issued in Mar 2009; done</i>																			
Goals/Objectives	<i>Reviewed by TC (Apr 23); recommended approval by TC on May 28; approved by PC on June 18</i>																			
Revise base year land use data	<i>Data gathered for 2000, 2005, and 2008</i>																			
Gather data on proposed future roads	<i>Individual entity mtgs in Jan; TC review on Jan 29; public input in February</i>																			

## DRAFT TIMELINE FOR THE MTP UPDATE

October 2008 to April 2010

Activities & Tasks of the MTP Update Sorted by Month

	STATUS REPORT	Oct 2008	Nov 2008	Dec 2008	Jan 2009	Feb 2009	Mar 2009	Apr 2009	May 2009	Jun 2009	Jul 2009	Aug 2009	Sept 2009	Oct 2009	Nov 2009	Dec 2009	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010
Develop Pedestrian Safety Action Plan	<i>Make this a product of the MTP Update</i>																			
Analyze applicable elements of the statewide freight study	Done					■	■	■												
Population Forecasts (Mid- & Long Range)	Numbers developed in April; reviewed in May; approved on June 18							■	■	■										
Employment Forecasts (Mid- & Long Range)	Numbers developed in April; reviewed in May; approved on June 18							■	■	■										
Project Evaluation Criteria	Reviewed by TC on May 28; approved by PC on June 18								■											
Finalize road project details for alternatives analysis	Gathered info from entities and the public; done																			
Safe Routes to School Activities and Policies	<i>Assisted at Bike Rodeo in May; student arrival counts in Sept.; Int'l WTS Day in Oct;</i>													■	■					
Technical Review of regional bike/ped projects	Done									■	■									
Using land use data and traffic model, identify areas of deficiency	Done										■	■								
Determine areas of future congestion	Done										■	■	■							
Calibrate model based on revised land use data	<i>2008 &amp; 2035 calibrated</i>										■	■	■	■						
Prioritize regional bike/ped projects	<i>Short range projects ranked in August; mid-range in Sept</i>											■	■	■	■					
Develop future transit projects	<i>Population growth maps &amp; potential transit demand tables completed</i>											■	■	■	■	■				
Run alternatives analysis for road projects	<i>Initial model runs completed in August; scenarios completed in Sept</i>											■	■	■	■					
Implementation strategies for Road Plan	<i>Worked on as part of future road scenarios</i>											■	■	■	■					

**DRAFT TIMELINE FOR THE MTP UPDATE**

October 2008 to April 2010

*Activities & Tasks of the MTP Update Sorted by Month*

	<b>STATUS REPORT</b>	Oct 2008	Nov 2008	Dec 2008	Jan 2009	Feb 2009	Mar 2009	Apr 2009	May 2009	Jun 2009	Jul 2009	Aug 2009	Sept 2009	Oct 2009	Nov 2009	Dec 2009	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010	
Develop transit cost analysis																					
Land Use/Transportation policies																					
Revise/expand Bike/Ped policies and implementation strategies																					
Environmental mitigation strategies																					
Transit line route analysis																					
Equestrian planning																					
Prioritize list of roadway projects																					
System preservation strategies																					
Security strategies																					
Congestion management techniques																					
Targets from District 5																					
Local contribution funding estimates																					
Review current state & local emergency plans																					
Identify new freight corridors																					
Planning for Air needs																					
Develop safety measures for freight movement																					
Identify future nodes as regional activity centers																					
Year of Expenditure figures																					
Develop Road Cost estimates																					

**DRAFT TIMELINE FOR THE MTP UPDATE**

October 2008 to April 2010

*Activities & Tasks of the MTP Update Sorted by Month*

	<b>STATUS REPORT</b>	Oct 2008	Nov 2008	Dec 2008	Jan 2009	Feb 2009	Mar 2009	Apr 2009	May 2009	Jun 2009	Jul 2009	Aug 2009	Sept 2009	Oct 2009	Nov 2009	Dec 2009	Jan 2010	Feb 2010	Mar 2010	Apr 2010	
Finalize new options for expansion of transit system																					
Develop freight policies																					
Maps & Charts for MTP elements																					
Develop implementation strategies for transit																					
Develop security goals																					
Final Bike/Ped Work Products																					
Final Freight Work Products																					
Develop/revise regional road policies																					
Develop/revise transit policies																					
Final Transit Work Products																					
Executive Summary																					
Planning Context for Introduction																					
MTP elements & Outcomes																					
Final Roadway Work Products																					
Financial charts and summary																					
Draft Plan Development																					
Final Plan Development																					
Final Public Comment Period																					
Adoption																					X

**FARMINGTON METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION**  
**Agenda Item**

<b>Subject:</b>	Information Items
<b>Prepared by:</b>	Martin Lucero, MPO Associate Planner
<b>Date:</b>	October 14, 2009

**INFORMATION ITEMS**

- a. **Joint Policy/Technical Committee Meeting.** On November 19 there will be a Joint Policy and Technical meeting held in Aztec. The meeting is scheduled to be held from 10:00am to 2:00pm.
- b. **FY2010 UPWP 1st Quarter Report** - The 1st Quarter report has been completed and sent to NMDOT and the various entities. A full copy of the report will be placed on the MPO website.
- c. **Quarterly Newsletter.** The MPO Quarterly Newsletter has been completed and will be distributed to the local entities. A full copy of the newsletter will be placed on the MPO website.
- d. **Safe Routes to School.** In September, the MPO helped complete student arrival counts for the three participating elementary schools in Farmington and helped with the International Walk to School Day on October 7<sup>th</sup>. The three schools have been joined by Tibbetts Middle School. The schools have launched a Walk Across America campaign.
- e. **2009 Traffic Count Program.** TDS placed traffic counters during the week of October 12, 2009. TDS will be back to retake counts that failed during the last half of October. The final counts are expected to be received and discussed during the November committee meetings and sent to NMDOT by the end of the year.
- f. **Other.**